## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

United States of America

V.

Criminal No. 12-cr-060-01-JD

Jimmy Ambrosi

## ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

In accordance with 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a hearing was conducted today for the purpose of determining whether the defendant should be detained. The court issued its detention order orally from the bench, and this written order incorporates those findings and rulings. See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)(1).

## Legal Standards

Section 3142(f) of the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 3141-3156, "does not authorize a detention hearing whenever the government thinks detention would be desirable, but rather limits such hearings" to the circumstances listed in 18 U.S.C. §§ 3142(f)(1) and (f)(2). United States v. Ploof, 851 F.2d 7, 10 (1st Cir. 1988). In this case, the government invokes § 3142(f)(1)(C), asserting that a detention hearing is warranted

because the defendant is charged with drug offenses that carry maximum sentences of ten or more years.

In this case, the defendant is charged by indictment with one count of conspiracy to distribute a controlled substance in accordance with 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1) and § 845 and sixteen counts of distribution of a controlled substance in accordance with 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1). The drug charges satisfy the parameters of § 3142(f)(1)(C), and, accordingly, the detention hearing was appropriately requested.

Pursuant to § 3142(f), the court must determine whether any condition or combination of conditions set forth in § 3142(c) will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant ("risk of flight") and the safety of any other person and the safety of the community ("dangerousness") 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f); United States v. Patriarca, 948 F.2d 789, 791 (1st Cir. 1991). In making this determination, the court must consider the following: (1) the nature and circumstances of the offense charged; (2) the weight of the evidence as to guilty; (3) the history and characteristics of the accused, including family ties, past history, financial resources and employment; and (4) the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community that would be posed by a release. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g).

During the course of a hearing conducted pursuant to 18
U.S.C. § 3142, the government has the burden of persuading the court that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure (1) the defendant's presence at trial, <u>United</u>

States v. Perez-Franco, 839 F.2d 867, 870 (1st Cir. 1988); or

(2) the safety of another or the community. <u>Patriarca</u>, 948 F.2d at 793. For its part, the government is required to prove risk of flight by a preponderance of the evidence and to establish dangerousness by clear and convincing evidence. <u>See id.</u> at 792-93.

## Findings and Rulings

At the hearing, the government argued that defendant's release posed a risk of both flight and danger. After weighing the evidence and balancing the factors laid out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g), the court finds that defendant failed to rebut the presumption as to either flight or danger. The court issued its ruling and explained its rationale orally from the bench. The court incorporates its oral ruling herein, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the government met its burden of proving that defendant's release, even on strict conditions, presents too serious a risk of both flight and danger. In short, there are no conditions or combination of conditions that

will reasonably assure the safety of the community or the appearance of defendant. Accordingly, it is <a href="ORDERED">ORDERED</a> that the defendant be detained pending trial.

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility, to be held separately, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the government, the person in charge of the correctional facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of appearing in connection with court proceedings.

SO ORDERED.

Landya/McCa/fferty

United States Magistrate Judge

May 11, 2012

cc: Jonathan Saxe, Esq.
 Debra Walsh, Esq.
 u.S. Marshal
 U.S. Probation